

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE ·

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		·		
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,501	06/23/2005	06/23/2005 Patrice Hameau		2706
1444 7	7590 11/30/2006	EXAMINER		
BROWDY A 624 NINTH ST	ND NEIMARK, P.L.	CHEN, SHIN HON		
SUITE 300	11021,111	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGŢO	N, DC 20001-5303	2131		

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/540,50)1	HAMEAU ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Shin-Hon		2131				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evolution. y period will apply and w by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be timil expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 23 June 2005.						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,,_	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the appli	cation.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	raminer.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				·			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
٠.	a)⊠ All b) Some c) None of. 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
•								
Attachma-	He)	•						
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/23/05</u> .		5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				
i-ape	1110(3)/Wall Date <u>0/23/03</u> .		o,					

Application/Control Number: 10/540,501 Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-21 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Collberg et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6668325 (hereinafter Collberg).
- 4. As per claim 1, Collberg discloses a method for securing computer systems comprising at least one code interpretation module and memory capacities for storing the interpreted code having measurable physical imprints, said method with the purpose of making attacks based on physical measurements or requiring synchronization with the aforesaid interpreted code, more difficult, consisting of introducing alternatives for executing the interpreted code, said alternatives having an effect on the execution times of the interpreted code or on its measurable physical imprint (Collberg: column 2 lines 10-21).
- 5. As per claim 2, Collberg discloses the method according to claim 1. Collberg further discloses the method comprising bypasses towards new code portions, so-called "bypass codes",

Art Unit: 2131

which do not belong to the original code (Collberg: column 2 lines 58-64).

- 6. As per claim 3, Collberg discloses the method according to claim 1. Collberg further discloses the method comprising a plurality of implementations of certain instructions, each requiring a different execution time or having a different physical imprint while providing an identical result (Collberg: column 2 lines 64-67).
- 7. As per claim 4, Collberg discloses the method according to claim 2. Collberg further discloses the method comprising a first mode for introducing "bypass codes" consisting of introducing one or more instructions specific to certain particular locations of the code, either manually or automatically during the generation of the aforesaid code (Collberg: column 3 lines 5-16).
- 8. As per claim 5, Collberg discloses the method according to claim 4. Granger further discloses wherein the bypass instructions are associated with security levels which correspond to complexity levels of their bypass code, the most complex being considered as the most defensive with regard to security attacks requiring synchronization with the code or measurement of its physical imprint (Collberg: column 2 lines 26-39).
- 9. As per claim 6, Collberg discloses the method according to claim 2. Collberg further discloses the method comprising a second mode for introducing "bypass codes" consisting of introducing the bypass code in the implementation of the interpreter itself (Collberg: column 15

Art Unit: 2131

lines 19-42).

- 10. As per claim 7, Collberg discloses the method according to claim 6. Collberg further discloses wherein the bypass code introduced into the implementation of the interpreter is executed either systematically by the interpreter or selectively or randomly (Collberg: column 2 lines 22-39).
- 11. As per claim 8, Collberg discloses the method according to claim 2. Collberg further discloses the method comprising a first mode for realizing "bypass codes" consisting of performing a so-called "superfluous" calculation depending on data known at execution (Collberg: column 18 lines 54-57).
- 12. As per claim 9, Collberg discloses the method according to claim 2. Collberg further discloses the method comprising a second mode for realizing "bypass codes" consisting of providing the aforesaid first mode with a random draw of an extra datum during the execution of the superfluous calculation, said extra datum being used in the calculation performed by the bypass code (Collberg: column 15 lines 32-35).
- 13. As per claim 10, Collberg discloses the method according to claim 8. Collberg further discloses wherein the aforesaid first mode for realizing "bypass codes" is improved by attaching different security levels to the implementations of instructions and associating them with all the more complex implementations (Collberg: column 10 lines 65-67: select required level of

Application/Control Number: 10/540,501

Art Unit: 2131

obfuscation).

14. As per claim 11, Collberg discloses the method according to claim 2. Collberg further discloses the method comprising a third mode for realizing "bypass codes" consisting of replacing in the aforesaid first and second modes the test for deciding on the next action by a branching in an indirection table containing the addresses of possible actions at an index calculated from variable items (dynamical datum and/or result from a random draw) (Collberg: column 15 lines 26-43).

Page 5

- 15. As per claim 12, Collberg discloses the method according to claim 2. Collberg further discloses the method comprising a fourth mode for realizing "bypass codes" consisting of performing a superfluous calculation having the external characteristics of a particular sensitive calculation (Collberg: column 12 lines 34-35 and column 18 lines 54-57).
- 16. As per claim 13, Collberg discloses the method according to claim 3. Collberg further discloses the method comprising a first mode for introducing a plurality of implementations of certain instructions consisting of enriching the set of instructions recognized by the interpreter with a plurality of implementations for a given instruction (Collberg: column 18 lines 15-39); the aforesaid instructions are performed either manually by programming or automatically upon code generation (Collberg: column 18 lines 15-39).

Application/Control Number: 10/540,501

Art Unit: 2131

17. As per claim 14, Collberg discloses the method according to claim 3. Collberg further

discloses the method comprising a second mode for introducing the aforesaid plurality of

implementations of certain instructions consisting of comprising in the actual implementation of

Page 6

the instruction, a branching to a portion of at least one alternative code with a variable physical

imprint or duration, which dynamically determines the implementation to be executed (Collberg:

column 27 lines 20-33).

18. As per claim 15, Collberg discloses the method according to claim 14. Collberg further

discloses the method comprising a first mode for realizing the aforesaid alternative code

consisting of proposing a plurality of different implementations of the instruction and by

conditioning the choice of the executed version to a dynamical test, i.e., depending on data

known at execution (Collberg: column 2 lines 40-57).

19. As per claim 16, Collberg discloses the method according to claim 14. Collberg further

discloses the method comprising a second mode for realizing the aforesaid alternative code

consisting of improving the aforesaid first mode for realizing "alternative codes" by providing it

with a random draw for achieving the test leading to the dynamical choice of the executed

version (Collberg: column 15 lines 32-34).

20. As per claim 17, Collberg discloses the method according to claim 14. Collberg further

discloses the method comprising a third mode for realizing the aforesaid "alternative code"

consisting of improving the aforesaid first and second modes for realizing "alternative codes"

Application/Control Number: 10/540,501 Page 7

Art Unit: 2131

consisting of replacing the test for deciding on the selected version with a branching in an indirection table containing the addresses of the available version at an index calculated for variable items (Collberg: column 18 lines 15-27).

- 21. As per claim 18, Collberg discloses the method according to claim 1. Collberg further discloses the method being implemented on a module for interpreting software code, a so-called virtual machine (Collberg: column 18 lines 16-27).
- 22. As per claim 19, Collberg discloses the method according to claim 18. Collberg further discloses wherein said virtual machine is a Java platform (Collberg: column 18 lines 16-27).
- 23. As per claim 20, Collberg discloses the method according to claim 1. Collberg further discloses the method being implemented on a module for interpreting physical code (Collberg: column 15 lines 19-43).
- 24. As per claim 21, Collberg discloses the method according to claim 1. Collberg further discloses the method being implemented on an embedded system and on an interpretation module of the microcontroller or microprocessor type (Collberg: column 4 line 60 column 5 line 24).

Conclusion

25. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,501

Art Unit: 2131

Page 8

Cronce et al. U.S. Pub. No. 20030236986 discloses protecting software from unauthorized use by converting source code modules to byte codes.

Naumovich et al. U.S. Pub. No. 20040103404 discloses class coalescence for obfuscation of object-oriented software.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shin-Hon Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shin-Hon Chen Examiner Art Unit 2131

SC

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100